Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 862 Case file # 95-290397.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

Independent Rev	iew conducted	by: _	Steve Roberts	on			
Area(s) of Expert	ise: Hair an	d Fiber					
Review commend	ced at: 11:00	AM ((Time),	10/22/02	(Da	te)	
File #: 95-290	0397			-			
Laboratory #(s):	00403063						
	00404002			•			
			Evenine	s) & Symbols			
			Exauntiei (s) & symbon	S		
	Review	ed N	ot Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	x		0			٥	0
ZE			x				0
			0			П	ם
			Material	s Reviewed			
Trial testimony tra	anscript(s) of:	Not	t available, gu	ilty plea			
Testimon	y Date(s):			Pages:			
aboratory Report(s	s):						
Laboratory Number:		004030	63, 00404002		Date:	Sept. 17, 199	90
Laboratory Number:			-	_	Date:		
Laboratory	Number:				Date:		<u> </u>
Examiner Bench Notes of:		RQ and unknown technician(s)			_		
Laboratory Number:		004030	63, 00404002		-		·
	_	P	age 1	of 3	-		
			Initials:	Sun		CF	RM - 2440

INDE. ENDENT CASE REVIEW REPULT

If yes, please ident	ify and/or describe the material: Subm	itting agency let	tter dated a	April 2, 1990		
	Results of F	Leview		-		
File #: 95-29039	97 Item or Specimen	# Reviewed: _	ewed: Q1-Q18, K2, K3, K8, K10			
	Review of Laboratory Repor	t(s) and Benc	h Notes:	<u> </u>		
Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses						
Did the exa	aminer perform the appropriate tests in a	cientifically acc	eptable m	anner, based on the		
Did the exa methods, pr	aminer perform the appropriate tests in a rotocols, and analytic techniques available	at the time of to No X Un report(s) support X No G Un	eptable m he origina able to De	anner, based on the all examination(s)? etermine		
Did the examethods, properties of the examethod of the bench not be the bench not ben	aminer perform the appropriate tests in a cotocols, and analytic techniques available • Yes mination results set forth in the laborator	ccientifically acc at the time of t No X Un report(s) suppo X No Un imony:	eptable mahe originable to De	anner, based on the all examination(s)? etermine adequately documented in etermine		
Did the examethods, pr	aminer perform the appropriate tests in a rotocols, and analytic techniques available	ccientifically acc at the time of t No X Un report(s) suppo X No Un imony:	eptable mahe originable to De	anner, based on the all examination(s)? etermine adequately documented in etermine		
Did the examethods, property of the example of the bench not be a construction of the bench not available of the bench not availa	aminer perform the appropriate tests in a rotocols, and analytic techniques available	ccientifically acc at the time of t No X Un report(s) suppo X No Un imony:	eptable mahe originable to De	anner, based on the all examination(s)? etermine adequately documented in etermine		
Did the examethods, property of the example of the bench not available. Transcript not available of Testimony consists	aminer perform the appropriate tests in a rotocols, and analytic techniques available	at the time of to No X Under the time of the time of the No X Under the No I Unde	eptable make originable to De originable	anner, based on the all examination(s)? etermine adequately documented in etermine		
Did the examethods, property of the example of the bench not bench not available. Transcript not available of Testimony consists.	aminer perform the appropriate tests in a rotocols, and analytic techniques available	ccientifically account the time of to at the time of to a Vurning report(s) support X No Under the Under t	eptable make originable to De originable	anner, based on the all examination(s)? etermine adequately documented in etermine		

Page ____ of ___ 3

Initials: Suc

Comments (Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

#1. It cannot be determined from the notes if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner. Please note that the hair comparison was apparently confirmed by a second examiner. #2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil instead of ink and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. The length and the number of hair examined is not documented. There appears to be transcription errors within the notes. The notes indicate that the pubic hair in items Q6A, Q6C, Q6E, Q6G, Q6I and Q6K were compared to K3, the victim's head hair. The notes also indicate that the head hair in Q6A, Q6B, Q6C, Q6D, Q6E, Q6F, Q6G, Q6H, Q6J and Q6K were compared to K2, the victim's pubic hair. The comparison of these hairs do not appear to be significant to this offense and were not reported. Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date) Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.75 hrs. hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages. Oct. 22, 202 (Signature) (Date)							
Please note that the hair comparison was apparently confirmed by a second examiner. #2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil instead of ink and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. The length and the number of hair examined is not documented. There appears to be transcription errors within the notes. The notes indicate that the pubic hair in items Q6A, Q6C, Q6E, Q6G, Q6I and Q6K were compared to K3, the victim's head hair. The notes also indicate that the head hair in Q6A, Q6B, Q6C, Q6D, Q6E, Q6F, Q6G, Q6H, Q6J and Q6K were compared to K2, the victim's pubic hair. The comparison of these hairs do not appear to be significant to this offense and were not reported. Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date) Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.75 hrs. hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages. Adduct Multiple Adduct Adduc	File #:	95-290397	_				
#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil instead of ink and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. The length and the number of hair examined is not documented. There appears to be transcription errors within the notes. The notes indicate that the public hair in items Q6A, Q6C, Q6E, Q6G, Q6I and Q6K were compared to K3, the victim's head hair. The notes also indicate that the head hair in Q6A, Q6B, Q6C, Q6D, Q6E, Q6F, Q6G, Q6H, Q6J and Q6K were compared to K2, the victim's public hair. The comparison of these hairs do not appear to be significant to this offense and were not reported. Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date) Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.75 hrs. hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages. Additional of the pencil instead of inchain pages. Oct. 22, 202	#1. It cann	not be determine	ed from the not	es if the tests v	were performed in a	scientifically acc	ceptable manner.
and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. The length and the number of hair examined is not documented. There appears to be transcription errors within the notes. The notes indicate that the pubic hair in items Q6A, Q6C, Q6E, Q6G, Q6I and Q6K were compared to K3, the victim's head hair. The notes also indicate that the head hair in Q6A, Q6B, Q6C, Q6D, Q6E, Q6F, Q6G, Q6H, Q6J and Q6K were compared to K2, the victim's pubic hair. The comparison of these hairs do not appear to be significant to this offense and were not reported. Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date) Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.75 hrs. hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review refully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages. Multi Multi Adult A	Please not	e that the bair o	omparison was	apparently co	nfirmed by a second	examiner.	
There appears to be transcription errors within the notes. The notes indicate that the public hair in items Q6A, Q6C, Q6E, Q6G, Q6I and Q6K were compared to K3, the victim's head hair. The notes also indicate that the head hair in Q6A, Q6B, Q6C, Q6D, Q6E, Q6F, Q6G, Q6H, Q6J and Q6K were compared to K2, the victim's public hair. The comparison of these hairs do not appear to be significant to this offense and were not reported. Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date) Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.75 hrs. hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review refully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages. Oct. 22, 202	#2. The re	sults are not ade	equately docum	nented in the n	otes. The notes are n	ot dated, are in	pencil instead of ink
Q6C, Q6E, Q6G, Q6I and Q6K were compared to K3, the victim's head hair. The notes also indicate that the head hair in Q6A, Q6B, Q6C, Q6D, Q6E, Q6F, Q6G, Q6H, Q6J and Q6K were compared to K2, the victim's public hair. The comparison of these hairs do not appear to be significant to this offense and were not reported. Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date) Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.75 hrs. hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages. Oct. 22, 202	and use ab	breviations diffi	icult to interpr	et. The length	and the number of h	air examined is	not documented.
head hair in Q6A, Q6B, Q6C, Q6D, Q6E, Q6F, Q6G, Q6H, Q6J and Q6K were compared to K2, the victim's public hair. The comparison of these hairs do not appear to be significant to this offense and were not reported. Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date) Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.75 hrs. hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages. Oct. 22, 202	There appe	ears to be transc	ription errors	within the note	es. The notes indicate	that the pubic	hair in items Q6A,
Public hair. The comparison of these hairs do not appear to be significant to this offense and were not reported. Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date) Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.75 hrs. hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages. Oct. 22, 202	Q6C, Q6E	, Q6G, Q6I and	Q6K were con	mpared to K3,	the victim's head hai	ir. The notes als	o indicate that the
Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 10/22/02 (Date) Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.75 hrs. hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of	head hair i	in Q6A, Q6B, Q	6C, Q6D, Q61	E, Q6F, Q6G, 0	Q6H, Q6J and Q6K v	were compared t	o K2, the victim's
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour):	pubic hair.	. The compariso	n of these hair	s do not appca	r to be significant to	this offense and	were not reported.
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour):							
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour):							
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour):							
hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of3 pages. Oct. 22, 202	Review con	mpleted at:	11:45 AM	(Time),	10/22/02	(Date)	•
re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of3 pages. Oct. 22, 202	Total time	spent conductin	ng review (to n	earest 1/4 hour	0.75 hrs.		
re fully documented on this report consisting of a total of3 pages. Oct. 22, 202							
- 10 MARC 100 - DOTT							results of my review
(Signature) (Date)				Te Um	c Kebuth	•	Oct. 22, 202
					(Cignotum)		(Data)
•				•	(Signature)		(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: Suc